



Design, Performance Evaluation, and Investigations of the dynamic mechanisms of

Earthworms- Microorganisms interactions for wastewater treatment by Vermifiltration technology



Content





PROBLEM & NEED





VERMIFILTRATION TECHNOLOGY





Vermifiltration technology

- Vermifiltration is a process that adapts traditional Vermicomposting into a passive wastewater treatment process by using potential of earthworms.
- A novel technology that involves the synergistic and symbiotic earthworms and microorganisms interactions to process organically polluted wastewater.
- The body of the earthworms act as a biofilter, and allows mixing and aeration for the biodegradation of the organic matter, through their enzymatic activity and removal of contaminants.
- The central concept behind Vermifiltration is that microorganisms perform biochemical degradation of waste material, while earthworms regulate microbial biomass and activity by providing aeration through their burrowing activity, and directly/indirectly grazing on microorganisms.

PROPOSED SOLUTION- VERMIFILTRATION TECHNOLOGY



Design & features

- The design criteria includes: optimum Hydraulic loading rate, HRT, Earthworm's type and stocking density, optimum Organic loading rate, health & maturity of earthworms, filter media, appropriate environmental conditions.
- The effectiveness of Vermifilter (VFs) for wastewater treatment has been tested on a variety of wastewaters and sludge, such as domestic sewage, faecal sludge, industry wastewater like Herbal Pharmaceutical wastewater etc, urban run off, and livestock wastewater and at a range of scales in the recent years.
- The removal Efficacy of pollutants (BOD>90%, COD > 85%, pathogens 99.9 % removal) showed the effectiveness of the technology.
- Vermifiltration technology encompasses all forms of TREATMENT (Primary- removal of silt, grit, etc., Secondary- removal of organic matter and Tertiary- removal of pathogens/disinfection) into single unit. However, this technology can be a used as stand alone or in combinations with other technologies.
- After the treatment process, the treated effluent may be utilized for irrigation purposes, and sludge gets converted into Vermicompost which an be used as manure.

Design & features

An easy to construct, low carbon footprint, energy efficient, no chemical requiring, cost effective and high performance technology for wastewater treatment, for various applications/segments



Inside a Vermifilter Plant





- One of the promising decentralized, cost-effective and sustainable wastewater treatment technology is VERMIFILTRATION.
- It involves the symbiotic and synergistic interactions of earthworms with aerobic microorganisms, that
 provides mixing and aeration of the organic matter, to treat wastewater and solid waste.



OUR RESEARCH FINDINGS

IN LAST 8 YEARS



Lab scale & pilot scale researches on optimizing the vermifiltration technology



Field scale vermifilter and investigations on microbial community dynamics inside the vermifilter & earthworms for the treatment process



Post treatment option for vermifilter treated wastewater to remove pathogens for safe end use by hybrid disinfection model



Investigations on earthworms coelomic fluid & gut microflora and its enzymatic activity & antimicrobial activity



Prevention of prevalence and spread of antimicrobial resistant genes and microorganisms



Evaluating the effect of irrigation by treated effluent on plants growth & properties.

Laboratory scale Experimental set up to develop design criteria for vermifiltration



Run 1: Performance of VFs with different stocking density











OPTIMUM SD = 10,000 worms/m³

Different filter media used for experiments



a) Different media sterilized before experiments



b) gravels (6-8 mm)



c) gravel (10-12 mm)





e) Glass balls



f) Mud balls

d) Coal

Run 2: Performance of VFs with different Filter media









VFR= River- bed VFM= Mud balls media VFC = coal VFG= Glass balls

OPTIMUM Filter media = River bed material, Overall performance VFR> VFM> VFG > VFC

Run 3: Performance of VFs with different Hydraulic Loading Rate (HLR)









VF1= 0.5; VF2= 1.0; VF3= 1.5, VF4= 2.0; VF5= 2.5 m³/m²/day





Phase II: Installing a pilot scale Vermifilter



RESULTS

Performance Evaluation of Vermifilter for continuous wastewater treatment (120 days)

Parameter	Influent (Avg ± SD)	Effluent VF (Avg ± SD)	% Reduction (R)	Effluent GF (Avg ± SD)	% Reduction (R)	
рН	7.97 ± 0.1	7.23 ± 0.1	-	7.89 ± 0.2	-	
Temperature	27.4 ± 1.5	27.2 ± 0.5	-	27.3 ± 1.5	-	
DO (mg/L)	0.05 ± 0.01	5.4 ± 1.5	-	4.45 ± 1.9	-	
BOD (mg/L)	235.7 ± 30 +	15 ± 9.9	93.6%	25.5 ± 8.8	89.2%	
COD (mg/L)	463 🛫 28	125 ± 25	73.1%	137 ± 28	70.4%	
Neutralizing pH, Buffering capability Aerobic conditions, Maintains DO naturally COD Removal						

RESULTS

				10	
Organisms	Influent	Effluent VF	Log removal (K)	Effluent GF	Log removal (K)
Total coliforms (MPN/100 mL)	3.5 X 10 ⁸	2.5 X 10⁵	3.15	2.0 X 10 ⁶	2.24
Fecal coliforms (MPN/100 mL)	2.0 X 10 ⁶	8.3 X 10 ^{2.5}	2.88	6.5 x 10 ⁴	1.49
Fecal streptococci (MPN/100 mL)	3.3 X 10 ⁶	1.9 X 10 ^{2.5}	3.74	3.0 X 10 ⁴	2.04
Total Heterotrophic Bacteria (CFU/mL)	1.4 X 10 ⁹	2.0 X 10 ⁵	3.85	6.8 X 10 ⁶	2.32
Total fungi (CFU/mL)	2.2 X 10 ⁶	7.5 X 10 ²	3.46	1.6 X 10 ⁴	2.14
Actinomycetes (CFU/mL)	6.5 X 10⁵	5.2 X 10 ⁴	1.09	2.5 X 10⁵	0.4
<i>Salmonella</i> (CFU/mL)	1.2 X 10 ⁶	1.5 X 10 ²	3.9	1.2 X 10 ⁴	2.0
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (CFU/mL)	1.5 X 10 ⁶	1.4 X 10 ⁴	2.03	1.5 X 10⁵	1.0

Considerable removal of pathogens, within the WHO standards (10³ MPN/100 mL) of water fit for reuse

Phase III: Combined Treatment of Biodegradable solid waste and wastewater





RESULTS

Performance evaluation of a vermifilter for combined treatment of solid waste and wastewater (90 days)

Parameters	0 th day	30 th day	60 th day	90 th day
рН	8.6 ± 0.2	8.5 ± 0.3	7.9 ± 0.3	7.5 ± 0.1
Ammonia- N (%)	0.4 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1
Nitrate- N (%)	0.3 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.2
TOC (%)	58.3 ± 0.2	48.4 ± 0.9	39.6 ± 0.8	32.0 ± 1.1
TN (%)	0.97 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.1
C/N ratio	60.10	48.40	26.40	16.84
TP (%)	0.96 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.5
C/P ratio	60.73	40.33	28.28	20.00
TC (MPN/g)	6.68 ± 0.5	4.86 ± 0.3	3.72 ± 0.2	2.60 ± 0.2
FC (MPN/g)	4.73 ± 0.6	4.23 ± 0.2	3.32 ± 0.2	2.50 ± 0.3
FS (MPN/g)	3.56 ± 0.4	2.98 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.6	1.90 ± 0.2
Salmonella (CFU/g)	2.97 ± 0.2	2.25 ± 0.2	1.47 ± 0.3	1.25 ± 0.2
Escherichia coli	2.90 ± 0.5	1.97 ± 0.2	1.50 ± 0.4	1.33 ± 0.2

RESULTS

Parameters	Influent	Final effluent	Removal (%)
TC (MPN/100 mL)	6.63 ± 0.60	2.72 ± 1.60	98.89
FC (MPN/100 mL)	5.48 ± 0.37	2.66 ± 0.30	97.12
FS (MPN/100 mL)	5.45 ± 0.66	2.80 ± 0.50	99.29
E. coli (CFU/mL)	4.50 ± 0.42	1.99 ± 0.10	99.99
Salmonella (CFU/mL)	3.87 ± 0.94	1.67 ± 0.92	96.81
Total bacteria (CFU/mL)	6.89 ± 0.25	3.54 ± 0.14	89.61
Total fungi (CFU/mL)	4.61 ± 0.60	3.81 ± 0.72	99.9
Actinomycetes (CFU/mL)	5.63 ± 0.15	3.72 ± 0.50	98.89

Microbial diversity by culture dependent approach



PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS



The 16 S rRNA analysis of the 12 active strains having were clustered into 2 phylogenetic groups

 Gammaproteobacteria
 Firmicutes



Phase IV: Field Scale run for one year

Two Pilot vermifilters Installed at 1 KLD capacity for Institutional Wastewater & Clinical laboratory wastewater treatment, operating since 2016 (June)



Results of Performance Analysis for Institutional wastewater treatment

Results of Performance Analysis for Clinical Laboratory wastewater treatment

Parameters	Influent	Final effluent	Parameters	Influent	Final effluent
рН	7.0-7.8	7.0-7.5	рН	6-8	7.0-7.5
Temperature (°C)	25-30	25-30	Temperature (°C)	25-30	25-30
Turbidity (NTU)	40-100	<5	Turbidity (NTU)	100-200	<10
DO (mg/L)	0-0.5	3-4	DO (mg/L)	0-0.5	3-4
Color	Grev or Dark Grev	Slightly pale vellow	Color	Grey or Dark Grey	Slightly pale yellow
Odor	Strong	Odorless	Odor	Strong	Odorless
BOD (mg/L)	100-200	<5	BOD (mg/L)	200-250	<15
COD (mg/L)	200-400	<30	COD (mg/L)	350-400	<30
TDS (mg/L)	1000-1500	50-100	TDS (mg/L)	1000-1500	50-100
TSS (mg/L)	250-300	20-30	TSS (mg/L)	250-300	20-30
NO_{2}^{-} (mg/L)	30-50	10 ± 2.7	NO_3^- (mg/L)	30-50	10 ± 2.7
PO_{4}^{2} (mg/L)	1-5	<3	PO ₄ ² (mg/L)	1-5	<3
SO_4^{2-} (mg/L)	100-200	<50	SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg/L)	100-200	<50
Fecal coliforms (MPN/100 mL)	3-4 X 10 ³	Below Detection Limit	Fecal coliforms (MPN/100 mL)	3-4 X 10 ³	Below Detection Limit







Diversity of microbes





Bacterial Diversity		Antimicrobial Acitivity Index	Enzymatic Activity Index	Dominant Strains	Classification		
Influe	ent	50	50	69%	44%	Bacillus, Enterobacter, E.coli, Micrococcus, Psuedomonas, Staphylococcus, Proteus,Corynebacterium	Firmicutes, Gammaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria
-				1			
	Control	50	50	50%	83%	Bacillus, Proteus, E. coli, Klebsiella	Firmicutes, Gammaproteobacteria
Earthworm	180 days	12.5	87.5	100%	100%	Micrococcus,Pseudomonas, Alcaligenes, Shigella, E. coli , Serratia	Actinobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria
	360 days	33	67	100%	100%	Lactobacillus, Citrobacter, unidentified sp.	Firmicutes, Gammaproteobacteria
	Coelomic Fluid	100	0	33%	N/A	Bacillus cereus Bacillus megaterium	Firmicutes
Active I	Layer	100	0	60%	40%	Micrococcus, Staphylococcus	Firmicutes, Actinobacteria
Efflue	ent	93.33	6.66	27%	40%	Bacillus, Staphylococcus, Micrococcus, Proteus, Corynebacterium	Firmicutes, Gammaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria

Results of Antimicrobial resistance during Vermifiltration











Mechanism of Earthworms- Microorganisms interactions



38

Significance

➤Cost –effective treatment technology as it does not require external aeration, 80% decrease in operational cost because VF does not need aerating oxygen pumps and heavy maintenance.

➤Does not produce odor as the entire system is aerobic due to burrowing activity of earthworms.

≻No problem of clogging

> No problem of excess sludge production







OUR TEAM



PI/ Expert of Field Dr. Sudipti Arora

- Research Scientist, Dr. B. Lal Institute of Biotechnology, Jaipur
- Ph. D (Environmental Engineering)
- Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Roorkee
- More Than 8 Years Research Experience In Waste Management And Sanitation through Vermifiltration Technology



Co-PI/ Environmental Expert Dr. Sonika Saxena • Vice Principal, Dr. B. Lal Institute

- of Biotechnology

 Developmental/
- Environmental Biotechnology



Microbiologist & AMR Specialist Dr. Sandeeep K. Srivastava • Associate Professor, Dr. B. Lal Institute of Biotechnology • Molecular Medical Microbiology • Transcriptomics & Imagery • Allergy & Infectious diseases



Key Advisor/ Domain Expert Dr. A. A. Kazmi Professor, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Roorkee



Scientific Advisor Dr. A. B. Gupta Professor, Malaviya National Institute of Technology (MNIT), Jaipur



Mentor Mr. Akhilesh Trivedi

Global Mentors & Strategist
 Startup & Technology Business
 Incubation Development



Sanitation & Design Expert Mr. Aditya Chaudhary Ph. D (MNIT, Jaipur)



Marketing Mr. Rajat Sharma ASST. General Manager Marketing & Communication Dr. B. Lal Group



Financial & Legal Advisor Mr. Sankalp Gupta Dr. B. Lal Group



Fulfilling the Sustainable Development Agenda 3 and Agenda 6

Thank you for your attention!

For more details, Please contact: sudiptiarora@gmail.com

45